Part I: Executive Summary — Planning Framework for Water Sustainability

Achieving long-term water sustainability requires shifting from isolated, single-purpose engineering toward an integrated, systems-level model. Regional water resilience rests on three structural pillars:

1. **Subsurface Storage, Alluvial Physics, and Managed Aquifer Recharge (MAR):**
    - Traditional surface storage suffers from massive evaporative losses, disrupts critical aquatic ecosystems, and remains vulnerable to seasonal climate shifts. Sustainable planning prioritizes Managed Aquifer Recharge (MAR) to capture surplus winter surface flows and stormwater runoff.
    - Instead of letting high seasonal runoff wash away, water is directed into dedicated infiltration basins, existing unlined agricultural canals, or injection wells.
    - As water filters naturally through the soil profile, it recharges shallow and regional aquifers, storing vast volumes underground without evaporation loss.
    - Ground and surface waters must be managed as a unified hydrologic pool. By maintaining water table elevations via managed recharge, upward vertical hydraulic pressure heads naturally sustain stream baseflows and moderate downstream water temperatures during late-summer dry periods, protecting critical salmonid reaches and estuaries.
    - Effective MAR relies on modeling hydraulic conductivity and transmissivity so that subsurface travel times create a multi-month residence lag, ensuring water infiltrated during winter and spring re-emerges at gaining river reaches during critical dry summer months.
2. **Closed-Loop Recycling and Source Capture:**
    - Conservation must be embedded directly into physical infrastructure rather than relying strictly on voluntary behavioral curtailment.
    - Decentralized rainwater catchment via residential cisterns and commercial/industrial catchment systems significantly reduces distribution pumping energy and offsets peak summer domestic withdrawals from shallow drinking water aquifers.
    - Reclaimed municipal wastewater and agricultural return flows should be treated to Class-A standards for irrigation, industrial cooling, and non-potable demands, preventing the disposal of valuable freshwater into marine outfalls.
3. **Telemetry-Driven, Dynamic Governance:**
    - Water scarcity is frequently accelerated by rigid, outdated administrative frameworks that allocate fixed diversion volumes irrespective of real-time supply.
    - Resilient models legally safeguard minimum instream flows to protect river corridors, wetlands, and estuaries.
    - Out-of-stream diversion schedules should be linked dynamically to real-time telemetry—such as automated stream gauges, continuous monitoring well networks, and snowpack snow-water-equivalent (SWE) melt

models—scaling back withdrawals proportionally during drought cycles to distribute the conservation burden equitably across users.

Part II: Case Analysis — Dungeness River Basin & Storage System Comparison

The Dungeness River watershed sits on an unconfined alluvial gravel-and-sand aquifer directly coupled with the mainstem river. Under seasonal and multi-year drought conditions, diminished snowpack causes spring runoff to peak early, producing severe late-summer flow deficits that endanger ESA-listed salmonids and increase seawater intrusion risks along coastal bluffs.

A central question in basin resilience is whether to construct large structural storage—such as the proposed Dungeness Off-Channel Reservoir (OCR)—or replace that capacity with massive, distributed recharge through natural methodologies (e.g., restored floodplain wetlands, unlined canals, and infiltration swales).

Comparative Overview: Off-Channel Reservoir (OCR) vs. Massive Natural Recharge

Evaluation Metric	Off-Channel Reservoir (OCR)	Massive Natural Aquifer Recharge (Natural MAR)
Storage Mechanism	Engineered, lined surface impoundment storing surface water above ground.	Infiltration into the shallow alluvial gravels via floodplains, unlined canals, and infiltration basins.
Control & Delivery	On-demand, direct gravity or pumped release directly into irrigation distribution networks during August–September.	Passive subsurface flow; delivery to the river or wells depends on hydrogeological travel lag times.
Evaporation Losses	Moderate to high surface evaporation losses during hot summer periods.	Zero evaporative loss once infiltrated beneath the root zone.
Ecological Side Benefits	Minimal instream enhancement other than reducing direct river diversions.	Restores riparian buffers, enhances wetlands, improves stream-aquifer hydraulic head, and lowers stream temperatures.

Cost Analysis

- **Capital Expenditure (CapEx):**
  - *Off-Channel Reservoir:* Substantially higher upfront capital cost. Constructing a large-capacity, engineered off-channel reservoir requires extensive earthmoving, engineered containment embankments, synthetic lining materials to prevent unmanaged leakage, specialized intake/outlet structures, pumping systems, and safety spillways. Total project costs typically run into tens of millions of dollars.
  - *Massive Natural Recharge:* Significantly lower capital costs. Natural MAR leverages existing natural topography, restored oxbows, floodplains, and existing unlined ditch channels. The primary capital costs are land acquisition or conservation easements, shallow excavation, intake check structures, and distribution manifolds.
- **Operational & Lifecycle Costs (OpEx):**

- *Off-Channel Reservoir:* Substantial ongoing operational overhead. Large surface impoundments require Dam Safety inspections, mechanical pump/gate servicing, structural lining maintenance, vegetation and algae management, and sediment removal.
- *Massive Natural Recharge:* Low ongoing maintenance. Maintenance mainly involves clearing debris from diversion intakes, managing invasive plants in swales, and periodically scraping or scarifying infiltration basins to prevent silt clogging.

Time to Implement

- **Off-Channel Reservoir (Estimated: 7 – 15+ Years):**
  - *Engineering & Permitting:* Complex engineering studies, geotechnical stability assessments, Environmental Impact Statements (EIS), dam-safety permits, and multi-agency state/federal reviews create extended pre-construction timelines.
  - *Construction:* Heavy civil construction, foundation stabilization, liner deployment, and mechanical integration typically take several consecutive construction seasons.
  - *Water Rights & Storage Permitting:* Securing state reservoir and storage water rights often involves rigorous administrative review and potential litigation.
- **Massive Natural Recharge (Estimated: 2 – 5 Years, Phased):**
  - *Modular & Incremental Rollout:* Natural recharge does not require all capacity to be built at once. Infiltration networks can be implemented incrementally—starting with unlined ditch turnouts, progressing to agricultural winter flooding agreements, and adding floodplain reconnection parcels over time.
  - *Streamlined Permitting:* Restoring natural wetlands and utilizing shallow infiltration basins usually qualifies for streamlined environmental and restoration permitting pathways compared to major dam or impoundment construction.
  - *Implementation Constraints:* The primary time hurdle is securing landowner access, conservation easements, and establishing accurate hydrogeologic lag-time modeling to ensure the infiltrated water re-emerges at the desired reaches during the critical late-summer window.

10/1/25 COLORADO